

BENITA J ROHM ROHM & MONSANTO

SUITE 1525

660 WOODWARD AVENUE

DETROIT MI 48226

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.		FILING D	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
	09/208	, 696	12/10/98	SEKINE	Υ	RM.HPK	

QM12/01037

EXAMINER

COLLINS, D

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3711

DATE MAILED:

01/03/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/208,696

Applicar

Yasuyuki Sekine

Examiner

Dolores R. Collins

Group Art Unit 3711



	•
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 10, 1998</u>	
☐ This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prose in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire more songer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtain 37 CFR 1.136(a).	1 101 163bolise will cause are
Disposition of Claim	is/are pending in the applicat
	is/are perioding in the application
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
XI Claim(s) 1-10	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
Claims are sub	ject to restriction or election requirement.
 See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. ★ The drawing(s) filed on	eddisapproved. a)-(d). have been PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for doffiestic priority under 50 5.5.5 g *** Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	· ·
— SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAG	GES

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/208,696

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MEP. § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: Reference character (8), shown in figure 2 is not explicitly mentioned in the specification. Examiner assumes that this reference character refers to the switch which automatically supplies medals to the credit portion. Correction/clarification is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/208,696

Art Unit:

Specification

- 3. In the specification on page 8, in paragraphs 2 and 3, figures 3 and 4 are described as being exactly the same. Figures 3 and 4, as shown in the drawings, are different. Examiner feels that applicant intended to describe figure 4 as having the first and second reels stopped.

 Correction/clarification is required.
- 4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains repetitive information.

 Correction is required. See MEP. § 608.01(b).
- 5. On line 8 of the abstract, the words 'are appeared' should perhaps be replaced by the word "appear".

Correction is required

Application/Control Number: 09/208,696

Art Unit:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The limitations a "disadvantageous symbol" in claim 2 and an "advantageous symbol" in claim 3 is not understood.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/208,696

Art Unit:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Sankyo K.K..

Sankyo discloses, as his invention, a slot machine. In his slot machine he teaches a display that has 2 or more identical symbols appearing serially, as shown in the main figure of his invention.

Sankyo discloses the claimed (display) invention with the exception of the teaching of 2 or more identical special symbols in all three columns. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to duplicate the teaching of 2 or more identical special symbols shown in the right and left columns (drums) as shown in the aforementioned figure, since it has been broadly held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art.

Additionally, the serially appearing symbols of Sankyo's disclosure could be considered special for the purpose of this invention.

Art Unit 3711

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Murphy et al., Hooker, Kimura, Olympia and Kabushiki Kaisha Ace Denken are cited to show the state of art with respect to features of the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Dolores R. Collins* whose telephone number is (703) 308-8352. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeanette Chapman, can be reached on (703) 308-1310. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3579.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the *receptionist* whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

JEANETTE CHAPMANU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700